



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1340  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/666,970

09/17/2003

Robert Bruce Nicholson

909B.0026.U1(US)

8604

29683 7590 01/31/2007  
HARRINGTON & SMITH, PC  
4 RESEARCH DRIVE  
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212

EXAMINER

MCCARTHY, CHRISTOPHER S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2113

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/31/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/666,970	NICHOLSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Christopher S. McCarthy	2113	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Christopher S. McCarthy. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Walter Malinowski Reg. 43.423. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 22 January 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: Nolet 6,138,249.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed the differences of the applicant's term of "predictive failure analysis algorithm" to the monitoring agent of Nolet. The representative pointed the specification which gives possible definitions for the term. The examiner urged the representative to place the language of the specification into the claim language if the the applicant would want coverage just for that specific language; otherwise, the examiner will examine for all possible definitions which could be derived from the claim language. Second, the representative presented evidence as to support the WWW amendment language. The representative pointed to item 350 in the drawings. The examiner replied that this item only shows code being published on the WWW, not then downloaded therefrom as claimed in the amendment. .